

REMARKS

The foregoing amendment and the following arguments are provided generally to impart precision to the claims, by more particularly pointing out the invention, rather than to avoid prior art.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §102(e)

Claims 1-5, 8, 10-11, 13-19, 22, 24-25, 27-33, 36, 38-39 and 41-42 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,184,886 (“Bates”). Applicant respectfully disagrees.

Claim 1 recites:

1. (Currently Amended) A method performed by at least one information handling system, the method comprising:
creating folders on a server information handling system in response
requests submitted from a user over a network, a list of the folders to be displayed on a display device, within at least one web browser window connected to the server information handling system over the network, the web browser window having a result of a search by a website in response to a search term query specified by the user; and
in response to a command from the user via the web browser window,
saving a selected portion of the result of the search on the server
information handling system in a folder that is selected by the user
from among the displayed list of folders. [Emphasis Added]

Applicant respectfully submits that Bates does not disclose “creating folders on a server information handling system in response requests submitted from a user over a network” and “saving a selected portion of the result of the search on the server information handling system in a folder that is selected by the user from among the displayed list of folders” recited in claim 1.

What described in Bates (e.g., Col. 8, line 30 to Col. 9, line 6) is the operation of adding bookmarks to “regular bookmark menu” (Col. 8, line 58, Bates). When such a “regular bookmark menu” of a standard web browser 200 (see, Fig. 2 of Bates) is used, “bookmarks are typically stored in folders with related bookmarks being stored in the same folder” (Col. 8, lines 60-61, Bates).

Since the folders discussed in Bates are bookmark folders of a standard web browser, the description of Bates cannot anticipate Applicant’s claimed features of “creating folders on a server information handling system in response requests submitted from a user over a network” and “saving a selected portion of the result of the search on the server information handling system in a folder that is selected by the user from among the displayed list of folders” that are recited in claim 1.

Thus, claim 1 is patentable over Bates at least for the above reasons.

Independent claims 15 and 29 also recite similar features. Specifically, claim 15 recites “means for creating folders on the server information handling system in response requests submitted from a user over a network” and “means for saving a selected portion of the result of the search on the server information handling system in a folder that is selected by the user from among the displayed list of folders”; and claim 29 recites “creating folders on a server information handling system in response requests submitted from a user over a network” and “saving a selected portion of the result of the search on the server information handling system in a folder that is selected by the user from among the displayed list of folders”. Since the folders discussed in Bates are limited to the bookmark folders of a standard web browser, as discussed above, Bates does not disclose these features recited in claims 15 and 29.

Thus, at least for the above reasons, independent claims 1, 15 and 29 and their dependent claims are patentable over Bates.

Claims 14, 28 and 42 further recite “***selectively enabling access*** to the selected folder via the server information handling system by ***one or more second users specified by the first user***”. This feature is not found in Bates (e.g., Figs. 7 & 8; Col., 8, line 30 to Col. 9, line 6). Specifically, Bates discusses the operation of adding bookmarks to the bookmark folders of a

standard web browser. However, Bates does not disclose selectively sharing bookmark folders and thus does not anticipate the Applicant's claimed feature of "*selectively enabling access to the selected folder via the server information handling system by one or more second users specified by the first user*". Thus, claims 14, 28 and 42 are patentable over Bates.

Further, claim 3 recites "wherein the information handling system outputs a web services call to the website, and wherein the website performs the search in response to the web services call and outputs the result of the search to the information handling system". Claims 17 and 31 recite a similar limitation. This claim limitation is not disclosed or taught in Bates.

With respect to this claim limitation, the Examiner cited column 1, line 45 to column 2, line 13. This cited portion of Bates introduces at a high level the world-wide web, browsers for web pages constructed using HTML, URLs, and "favorites" and bookmarks. This cited portion, and the remaining portions of Bates, do not describe or teach a *web services call*, much less a website performing a *search in response to the web service call*, with the search results output to an information handling system, as claimed. Thus, for example, claims 3, 17, and 31 are patentable in their own right.

Claims 14 and 28 recite, inter alia: "selectively enabling access to the selected folder by one or more second users specified by the first user". Claim 42 recites a similar limitation. This claim limitation is not disclosed or taught in Bates.

With respect to this claim limitation, the Examiner cited Figures 7 and 8 and column 8, line 30 to column 9, line 6. This cited portion of Bates generally discusses the ability of the user to place promoted bookmarks in selected folders in a bookmark folder list (step 631). (Bates, col. 8, lines 61-66.) Column 7, lines 39-67 in Bates, which was not cited by the Examiner, discusses a user profile with which previously-stored staged bookmarks are associated. When a web browser is launched, the Bates system retrieves, based on the user profile of the user using the web browser, the staged bookmarks of the user. (Bates, col. 7, lines 44-53.) By way of explanation, the Summary of the Invention in Bates states: "This unique process allows a *user* to maintain a list of high-quality bookmarks that *will lead the user* back to the sites which are most suited to the *user's* specific information needs." (Bates, col. 3, lines 44-48.) Thus, all of the relevant teachings in Bates describe staged bookmarks selected by the user for later access by the

user exclusively. Bates fails to disclose or teach access to folders by one or more ***second users*** specified by the first user, as claimed. Thus, as another example, claims 14, 28, and 42 are patentable in their own right.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Claims 6-7, 9, 12, 20-21, 23, 26, 34-35, 37 and 40 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Bates in view of alleged knowledge in the field. Applicant respectfully disagrees.

The alleged knowledge relied upon for the rejection of claims 6-7, 9, 12, 20-21, 23, 26, 34-35, 37 and 40 is not sufficient to cure the deficiency of Bates in meeting the limitations of their base claims (e.g., independent claims 1, 15, 29). Thus, claims 6-7, 9, 12, 20-21, 23, 26, 34-35, 37 and 40 are patentable over Bates, in view of the alleged knowledge in the field.

CONCLUSION

It is respectfully submitted that all of the Examiner's objections have been successfully traversed and that the application is now in order for allowance. Accordingly, reconsideration of the application and allowance thereof is courteously solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: October 8, 2008

/Lehua Wang/
Lehua Wang
Reg. No. 48,023

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
CUSTOMER NUMBER **64494**
1900 University Avenue, Fifth Floor
East Palo Alto, CA 94303
Phone: (650) 328-8500
Fax: (650) 328-8508